

MINUTES ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL

Greenville, NC
November 10, 2005

The Greenville City Council met in a regular meeting on the above date at 7:00 PM in the City Council Chambers, third floor of the Municipal Building, with Mayor Robert D. Parrott presiding. The meeting was called to order, followed by the invocation by Council Member At-Large Pat Dunn and the pledge of allegiance to the flag. The following were present.

Mayor Robert D. Parrott
Mayor Pro-Tem Ric Miller
Council Member Mildred A. Council
Council Member Ray Craft
Council Member Pat Dunn
Council Member Rose H. Glover
Council Member Chip Little
Wayne Bowers, City Manager
Wanda T. Elks, City Clerk
David A. Holec, City Attorney

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Motion was made by Council Member Little and seconded by Council Member Council to approve the agenda as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

SPECIAL RECOGNITIONS

Mr. Charles Mayo was presented with a plaque for providing his services as an auctioneer for the City auction free of charge for 12 years. Financial Services Director Bernita Demery stated that the service he has provided to the City has a value of \$24,000.

APPOINTMENTS TO BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

Historic Preservation Commission

Motion was made by Council Member Council and seconded by Council Member Dunn to appoint Richard Weir to fill an unexpired term expiring January 2008 replacing Marsha Milligan, who resigned. Motion carried unanimously.

Human Relations Council

Council Member Dunn asked that this appointment be continued until December.

Police Community Relations Committee

Council Member Little announced that he had appointed John Reed to the Police Community Relations Committee for a first two-year term that will expire October 2007 replacing Larry Barbour, who is ineligible for reappointment.

Council Member Craft stated that he is continuing his appointment to replace Louis Hobbie, who resigned.

Redevelopment Commission

Motion was made by Council Member Craft and seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller to reappoint Chris Darden to serve a first five-year term expiring November 2010. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion was made by Council Member Council and seconded by Council Member Little to appoint Melissa Hill to fill an unexpired term expiring November 2006 replacing Ashley Fenner, who resigned. Motion carried unanimously.

ORDINANCE REZONING WILLIAM E. DANSEY, JR. (GREYSTONE MOBILE HOME PARK, LLC) PROPERTY LOCATED WITHIN GREYSTONE MOBILE HOME PARK, ALONG THE EASTERN RIGHT-OF-WAY OF BAYSWATER ROAD, THE WESTERN RIGHT-OF-WAY OF EAST SHELBOOK DRIVE, AND THE NORTHERN RIGHT-OF-WAY OF LANCASTER GATE, FROM R6MH TO CG - ADOPTED

City Manager Wayne Bowers reported that a notice of public hearing was published in The Daily Reflector on October 24 and October 31, 2005 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing to consider a request by William E. Dansey, Jr. – Greystone Mobile Home Park, LLC to rezone 3.161± acres located within Greystone Mobile Home Park, along the eastern right-of-way of Bayswater Road, the western right-of-way of East Shelbrook Drive, and the northern right-of-way of Lancaster Gate, from R6MH to CG. The Planning and Zoning Commission, at its October 18, 2005 meeting, voted to recommend approval of the request.

Mr. Harry Hamilton, Chief Planner, delineated the property on a map and stated that the property being requested to be rezoned contains 14 mobile homes that are currently part of Greystone Mobile Home Park. To the north is Fire Tower Mini-Storage, which contains 260 units, and to the east there is an approved site plan for an additional 16 units. The subject property is not impacted by the floodplain. The proposed rezoning would generate 60 additional trips. Fire Tower Road between the Evans Street and the Arlington Boulevard commercial focus areas is recognized as a residential corridor. The property is located in a corridor transition area with residential development preferred. The existing adjacent 7.3 acres of commercial zoning to the north will serve as a neighborhood focus. The neighborhood focus area should be restricted to this central location, and further linear expansion along Fire Tower Road would be discouraged. The Land Use Plan Map otherwise recommends high density residential to the south of Fire Tower Road and medium-density residential to the north of Fire Tower Road. Further commercial component expansion of the existing neighborhood focus area should be restricted, especially along the frontage of Fire Tower Road (east or west). The existing neighborhood

focus area location (spacing) is in general compliance with the Comprehensive Plan guidelines; however, the commercial (retail) component in combination with existing zoning is in excess of plan recommendations. With the proposed rezoning, this area would contain approximately 10.5 acres of General Commercial. Since 2001, additional commercial has encroached into the mobile home park. Staff is not in favor of this request because the existing commercial area to the north of the subject site is in excess of the Comprehensive Plan's recommendation for this area and the proposed commercial area would front directly onto private substandard residential streets.

Mayor Parrott declared the public hearing open and solicited comments from the audience.

Mr. Fred Mattox, representing the petitioner, stated that Bayswater Drive will be brought up to City standards. As it was developed for multi-family development, the R-6 traffic would be significantly more than if it is utilized for multi-storage. The market now is not conducive to singlewide mobile homes. There is a need for multi-family. They need a storage area like this, which is why Mr. Dansey would like to expand. He owns the property around the request. Rocky Russell, the adjacent property owner, is developing the property beside it. There would be no expansion of commercial property along Fire Tower Road. Mini-storage would be a better buffer than multi-family development or singlewide mobile homes.

Council Member Little asked why, if this is a logical alternative to mini-storage, didn't the owner rezone this particular piece at the same time?

Mr. Mattox replied that this area is one of the fastest growing areas in the City. Storage is desirable for people living in multi-family dwellings. This is an excellent location to put it. The petitioner owns the property immediately around the property.

Mayor Parrott asked if there are any requirements that this road would be requested after the plan goes through.

Mr. Hamilton replied that the owner would have to go through the process.

City Attorney Holec stated that he did not believe the street would be developed through this development process.

After brief discussion about what would be required to get a driveway permit, Mr. Hamilton stated that the road provides access to high-density multi-family area. That type of road could be within a planned center environment and could be no better accessed than that.

There being no further comments, the public hearing was closed.

Council Member Little expressed concern about there being no specific regulation saying there will be no expansion of the mini-storage.

Motion was made by Council Member Craft and seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller to adopt the ordinance rezoning a 3.161± acres located within Greystone Mobile Home Park, along the eastern right-of-way of Bayswater Road, the western right-of-way of East Shelbrook Drive, and

the northern right-of-way of Lancaster Gate, from R6MH to CG. Motion carried with a vote of 4:2. Mayor Pro-Tem Miller and Council Members Craft, Council and Glover voted in favor of the request. Council Members Little and Dunn voted in opposition. (Ordinance No. 05-131)

ORDINANCE REZONING PROPERTY (EXCLUDING STREET RIGHTS-OF-WAY) LOCATED SOUTH OF GREENVILLE BOULEVARD, WEST OF MEMORIAL DRIVE, NORTH OF THOMAS LANGSTON ROAD, AND EAST OF DICKINSON AVENUE AND FROG LEVEL ROAD AND ALSO LOCATED ALONG THE WESTERN RIGHT-OF-WAY OF EAST BERKLEY ROAD AND THE SOUTHERN RIGHT-OF-WAY OF TREEMONT DRIVE, NORTH OF FOREST HILLS DRIVE, EAST OF WEST BERKLEY ROAD, AND SOUTH OF EAST FOURTEENTH STREET, FROM RA20 AND R9 TO R9S AND FROM OR TO R15S - ADOPTED

City Manager Wayne Bowers reported that a notice of public hearing was published in The Daily Reflector on October 24 and October 31, 2005 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing to consider a request by the Planning and Community Development Department as recommended by the Task Force on Preservation of Neighborhoods and Housing to rezone 73± acres (excluding street rights-of-way) located south of Greenville Boulevard, west of Memorial Drive, north of Thomas Langston Road, and east of Dickinson Avenue and Frog Level Road and also located along the western right-of-way of East Berkley Road and the southern right-of-way of Treemont Drive, north of Forest Hills Drive, east of West Berkley Road, and south of East Fourteenth Street, from RA20 and R9 to R9S and from OR to R15S. The Planning and Zoning Commission, at its October 18, 2005 meeting, voted to recommend approval of the request.

Mr. Hamilton delineated the property on a map and stated that this is the third Task Force Neighborhood rezoning. It is a part of Neighborhood Improvement Strategy Number Six, which is to identify neighborhoods that are predominately single-family in character but are zoned in a manner that would permit intrusion of duplexes or multi-family uses and to rezone such neighborhoods to prohibit further intrusion. This request is for the Red Oak-Oakdale Subdivisions and a small portion of the Forest Hill Subdivision. The combined area for Red Oak and Oakdale Subdivision is 72 acres. Currently, Red Oak is zoned RA-20 and Oakdale is zoned RA20 and R9. Both of these districts contain a duplex option. The requested zoning for both neighborhoods is R9S, which is a single-family zoning district. There are currently 167 lots being utilized as single family, with six lots vacant. The property is not impacted by the floodplain. The next area is a small portion of Forest Hills that includes six lots. The property is currently zoned OR, which allows multi-family development, and the proposed zoning is for R-15S. The surrounding neighborhood has R-15S zoning. The remaining OR property is the site of Elmhurst School. The area is 100 percent single-family. The property is not impacted by the floodplain. The properties are 50 percent rental and 50 percent owner-occupied. None of the neighborhoods contain any nonconforming uses. The anticipated outcome of these rezonings is to provide an added measure of neighborhood stability and demonstrate the City's commitment to single-family neighborhood preservation as part of a comprehensive housing revitalization strategy. A protest petition was received for this property for less than one acre in Oakdale, and the minimum requirement would be at least 20 percent of this property. The protest petition presented is not valid.

Mayor Parrott declared the public hearing open and solicited comments from the audience.

Mr. Louis Sutton stated that this rezoning will prevent development of office along this road. This is a four-lane road that will potentially have houses, which can eliminate noise and reduce traffic.

Ms. Lillian Outterbridge stated that she was on the Task Force on Neighborhoods and Housing and is happy to see the City working on the recommendations of the Task Force. She stated that the Red Oak and Oakdale neighborhoods are places of solitude and contain many retirees. They would like to preserve their uniqueness, and this rezoning would help to do that. She asked the people in the audience in support of the request to stand, and approximately 30 people stood.

There being no further comments, the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Council Member Glover and seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller to adopt the ordinance rezoning 73± acres (excluding street rights-of-way) located south of Greenville Boulevard, west of Memorial Drive, north of Thomas Langston Road, and east of Dickinson Avenue and Frog Level Road and also located along the western right-of-way of East Berkley Road and the southern right-of-way of Treemont Drive, north of Forest Hills Drive, east of West Berkley Road, and south of East Fourteenth Street, from RA20 and R9 to R9S and from OR to R15S. Motion carried unanimously. (Ordinance No. 05-132)

ORDINANCE REZONING JFJ ENTERPRISES, LLC PROPERTY LOCATED AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF THE INTERSECTION OF MACGREGOR DOWNS ROAD AND B'S BARBEQUE ROAD, FROM MR TO MO - ADOPTED

City Manager Wayne Bowers reported that a notice of public hearing was published in The Daily Reflector on October 24 and October 31, 2005 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing to consider a request by JFJ Enterprises, LLC to rezone 4.0874± acres located at the southeast corner of the intersection of MacGregor Downs Road and B's Barbeque Road, from MR to MO. The Planning and Zoning Commission, at its October 18, 2005 meeting, voted to recommend approval of the request.

Mr. Harry Hamilton, Chief Planner, delineated the property on a map and stated that the property is vacant but is part of an approved site plan for Cascade Apartments, which contains 104 units. There are currently two buildings constructed. The property is not impacted by the floodplain. The proposed rezoning could generate 350 additional trips. MacGregor Downs Road and B's Barbeque Road are considered connector corridors. B's Barbeque Road is considered a minor thoroughfare. The Land Use Plan recommends Office/Institutional/Multi-family for the area bound by MacGregor Downs Road, B's Barbeque Road, Stantonsburg Road and Allen Road. The requested Medical-Office district does not permit residential development and, therefore, the applicant would need to amend the site plan to relinquish the vested rights for the apartments that would not be allowed under the proposed MO district. Staff is of the opinion that the request is in compliance with the Comprehensive Plan.

Mayor Parrott declared the public hearing open and solicited comments from the audience.

Mr. Francis Garner was present to answer questions.

There being no questions or comments, the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Council Member Craft and seconded by Council Member Little to adopt the ordinance rezoning 4.0874± acres located at the southeast corner of the intersection of MacGregor Downs Road and B's Barbeque Road, from MR to MO. Motion carried unanimously. (Ordinance No. 05-133)

ORDINANCE REZONING 2004 CUMBERLAND, LLC PROPERTY LOCATED AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF THE INTERSECTION OF ALLEN ROAD AND NORFOLK SOUTHERN RAILROAD, FROM R6 TO CG - ADOPTED

City Manager Wayne Bowers reported that a notice of public hearing was published in The Daily Reflector on October 24 and October 31, 2005 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing to consider a request by 2004 Cumberland, LLC to rezone 7.32± acres located at the southeast corner of the intersection of Allen Road and the Norfolk Southern Railroad from R6 to CG. The Planning and Zoning Commission, at its October 18, 2005 meeting, voted to recommend approval of the request.

Mr. Harry Hamilton, Chief Planner, delineated the property on a map and stated that the property is not impacted by the floodplain. The proposed rezoning could generate 850 additional trips. Allen Road is considered a connector corridor to the railroad tracks and then it transitions into a residential corridor. The subject property is also part of a recognized focus area. Allen Road is considered a major thoroughfare. The Land Use Plan recommends commercial transitioning into high density multi-family. To the west is Medical Heavy Commercial, and the property to the north is zoned Medical Office. Staff is of the opinion that this request is in compliance with the Comprehensive Plan.

Mayor Parrott declared the public hearing open and solicited comments from the audience.

Mr. Jim Walker was present to answer questions.

There being no questions or comments, the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Council Member Dunn and seconded by Council Member Council to adopt the ordinance rezoning 7.32± acres located at the southeast corner of the intersection of Allen Road and the Norfolk Southern Railroad, from R6 to CG. Motion carried unanimously. (Ordinance No. 05-134)

ORDINANCE REZONING UPTOWN PROPERTIES, LLC C/O DONALD JACKSON EDWARDS, MANAGING PARTNER PROPERTY LOCATED ALONG THE NORTHERN RIGHT-OF-WAY OF DICKINSON AVENUE AND EASTERN RIGHT-OF-WAY OF PITT STREET FROM CDF TO CD - ADOPTED

City Manager Wayne Bowers reported that a notice of public hearing was published in The Daily Reflector on October 24 and October 31, 2005 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing to consider a request by Uptown Properties, LLC c/o Donald Jackson Edwards, Managing Partner, to rezone 0.2745± acres (11,957 square feet) located along the northern right-

of-way of Dickinson Avenue and the eastern right-of-way of Pitt Street from CDF to CD. At its October 18, 2005 meeting, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted to recommend approval of the request.

Mr. Harry Hamilton, Chief Planner, delineated the property on a map and stated that both tracts contain a building on each lot. The tract to the north has a vacant building and the tract to the south contains three units. The property is not impacted by the floodplain. Dickinson Avenue is considered a connector corridor and major thoroughfare. Due to the small size, a traffic report was not prepared. The Land Use Plan recommends commercial for the area. In 2003 and 2005, similar requests for property to be rezoned to Downtown Commercial were approved. Both of those zones allow commercial activities and multi-family development. Staff is of the opinion that this request is in compliance with the Comprehensive Plan.

Mayor Parrott declared the public hearing open and solicited comments from the audience.

Mr. Steve Spruill was present to answer any questions.

There being no questions or comments, the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Council Member Dunn and seconded by Council Member Council to adopt the ordinance rezoning 0.2745± acres (11,957 square feet) located along the northern right-of-way of Dickinson Avenue and the eastern right-of-way of Pitt Street from CDF to CD. Motion carried unanimously. (Ordinance No. 05-135)

ORDINANCE REZONING MAX R. JOYNER, SR., ET. AL. AND MERIDIAN RECREATION LLC PROPERTY LOCATED ALONG THE EASTERN RIGHT-OF-WAY OF MERIDIAN DRIVE, SOUTH OF ARLINGTON BOULEVARD, AND WEST OF MEMORIAL DRIVE, FROM OR TO R6 - ADOPTED

City Manager Wayne Bowers reported that a notice of public hearing was published in The Daily Reflector on October 24 and October 31, 2005 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing to consider a request by Max R. Joyner, Sr., et. al. and Meridian Recreation LLC to rezone 17.166± acres located along the eastern right-of-way of Meridian Drive, south of Arlington Boulevard, and west of Memorial Drive, from OR to R6. At its October 18, 2005 meeting, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted to recommend approval of the request.

Mr. Harry Hamilton, Chief Planner, delineated the property on a map and stated that the property is part of the approved site plan for Meridian Park. The subject tract could contain 90 multi-family units. The property is impacted by the floodway and floodplain associated with Green Mill Run. There is no change in traffic for the proposed rezoning. The map indicates the proposed W. H. Smith Boulevard extension. The Land Use Plan recommends Office/Institutional/Multi-family. If the property is rezoned to R-6, a more intensive bufferyard would be required when the heavy commercial property to the east is developed. Staff is of the opinion that this request is in compliance with the Comprehensive Plan.

Mayor Parrott declared the public hearing open and solicited comments from the audience. There being none, the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Council Member Little and seconded by Council Member Craft to adopt the ordinance rezoning 17.166± acres located along the eastern right-of-way of Meridian Drive, south of Arlington Boulevard, and west of Memorial Drive, from OR to R6. Motion carried unanimously. (Ordinance No. 05-136)

ORDINANCE ANNEXING BRADFORD EXECUTIVE PARK, SECTION 5, LOCATED ON THE EAST SIDE OF ARLINGTON BOULEVARD, NORTH OF TURNBURY DRIVE AND EAST OF BRADFORD DRIVE - ADOPTED

City Manager Wayne Bowers reported that notice of public hearing was published in The Daily Reflector on October 24, 2005 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing to consider a request by Bradford Executive Park to annex Section 5, containing 6.71 acres located on the east side of Arlington Boulevard, 225 feet north of Turnbury Drive and east of Bradford Drive. This is a contiguous annexation.

Mr. Merrill Flood, Director of Planning and Community Development, delineated the property on a map and stated that the property is located in Voting District 5. The property is currently vacant and the proposed use is 77 multi-family dwelling units. The current population is 0, and the anticipated population at full development is 180, with 7 projected to be minority.

Mayor Parrott declared the public hearing open and solicited comments from the audience. There being none, the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller and seconded by Council Member Little to adopt the ordinance annexing 6.71 acres located on the east side of Arlington Boulevard, 225 feet north of Turnbury Drive and east of Bradford Drive. Motion carried unanimously. (Ordinance No. 05-137)

ORDINANCE ANNEXING IRISH CREEK, SECTION 2, PHASES 1B AND 1C, LOCATED SOUTH OF TREETOPS SUBDIVISION AND NORTH OF IRISH CREEK, SECTION 1 - ADOPTED

City Manager Wayne Bowers reported that a notice of public hearing was published in The Daily Reflector on October 24, 2005 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing to consider a request by Irish Creek to annex Section 2, Phases 1B and 1C, containing 28.67 acres located south of Treetops Subdivision and north of Irish Creek, Section 1. This is a contiguous annexation.

Mr. Merrill Flood, Director of Planning and Community Development, delineated the property on a map and stated that the property is located in Voting District 5. The property is currently vacant and the proposed use is 59 single-family residential dwellings. The current population is 0, and the anticipated population at full development is 138, with 22 being minority.

Mayor Parrott declared the public hearing open and solicited comments from the audience. There being none, the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Council Member Glover and seconded by Council Member Craft to adopt the ordinance annexing Section 2, Phase 1B and 1C, containing 28.67 acres located south of Treetops Subdivision and north of Irish Creek, Section 1. Motion carried unanimously. (Ordinance No. 05-138)

ORDINANCE ANNEXING TABERNA, PHASE 2, PROPERTY LOCATED ON WEST SIDE OF FROG LEVEL ROAD, WEST OF AUGUSTA TRAILS AND MEADOW WOODS SUBDIVISIONS - ADOPTED

City Manager Wayne Bowers reported that notice of public hearing was published in The Daily Reflector on October 24, 2005 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing to consider a request by Taberna to annex Phase 2, containing 13.8489 acres located on the west side of Frog Level Road, west of Augusta Trails and Meadow Woods Subdivisions. This is a noncontiguous annexation.

Mr. Merrill Flood, Director of Planning and Community Development, delineated the property on a map and stated that the property is located in Voting District 5. The property is currently vacant and the proposed use is 33 single-family residential dwellings. The current population is 0, and the anticipated population at full development is 77, with 19 being minority.

Council Member Glover expressed concern about District 5 continuing to grow. She stated that the Department of Justice has been asking about this. The City may need to look at redistricting for that district, as well as for Districts 3 and 4.

City Attorney Holec stated that information on each annexation is sent to the Department of Justice showing the population, including minority population, before annexation and what is proposed after full development. They look at how that impacts the minority composition. The City staff checks periodically. It is currently being monitored.

Council Member Glover stated that she would like for the Council to get that information periodically. She would also like to see the change since the last census.

Mayor Parrott declared the public hearing open and solicited comments from the audience. There being none, the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Council Member Craft and seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller to adopt the ordinance annexing Phase 2, containing 13.8489 acres located on the west side of Frog Level Road, west of Augusta Trails and Meadow Woods Subdivisions. Motion carried unanimously. (Ordinance No. 05-139)

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

Mr. Frank Schenck stated that convergence is getting a lot of prominence, particularly in Washington, DC. It refers to the fact that the media is in turmoil. There are huge newspaper conglomerates, cable companies, and broadcasters that are currently in Washington, D.C., because they have discovered the telephone companies want to take over television after a 1996 law that allowed them to compete in television. What the telephone companies do not want to do

is bother with franchise agreements, which will in essence take away five percent, or approximately \$1.0 million, that the City and the County would receive. The telephone companies do not want to bother with franchises because the requirements to carry channels do not allow telephone companies to put advertising on. Cable companies obtain fifty percent of their income through advertising, broadcasters obtain all of their income through advertising and, it is not known what the telephone companies receive because they are regulated by public utilities. This is something to watch very carefully, particularly since Cox Communications is now willing to sell one million of its subscribers to a company that has recently come out of bankruptcy. It is not practical because Cox Communications got into a big hole and owes a lot of money to banks and needs to raise \$2.5 billion from this sale to cover \$8 billion that they owe banks. Greenville has a wonderful negotiating committee and the Council needs to support them. The Council needs to find out about convergence and what it means to Greenville in the future.

Mr. Dennis Mitchell stated that he is concerned about youth violence in Greenville and that youth often have a hard time finding a way to express themselves. One way the City can allow youth to express themselves is through the area of public access television, which could allow youth to become a part of the process of production and being in front of the camera as well as behind the camera. Mr. Mitchell informed the Council that when he was growing up in Fayetteville, NC, they had a public access channel that was a splinter off of Fayetteville's cable television. It was right in their community and every week there was a time allotted that the young people could come into the studio and produce a show. The youth were in front of the camera and behind the camera and discussed different issues, similar to a teen forum. Mr. Mitchell felt this would be a good idea that could be implemented in the Greenville area. Public Access Television could play a key role in allowing youth to learn different ways to better express themselves and to feel like they are a part of something. He asked the City Council to try to think of ideas that would allow youth to direct their energy into positive ways, because the issue of youth violence is not going away by itself.

Ms. Cherie Speller with the Greenville Public Access Channel stated that she was present with a message of Thanksgiving to the Council Members who had their views aired on the channel during the election season. She thanked them for taking the time to do this, and especially to Ms. Council who ran unopposed and had an emergency situation at her house and still found the time to go over to ECU to videotape her views so that the citizens of Greenville could hear her views on what is going on in our city. She also thanked the City staff for working so diligently with Cox Communications to look out for the channel through the cable franchise agreement and for working with the county and other groups that may be helpful in getting that done. Ms. Speller stated that she thought that through the candidates forum a lot of work was done with the people at East Carolina University. These are the kinds of things that the channel can do in the future, working together cooperatively with community groups.

RESOLUTION PROVIDING CONSENT FOR EXECUTION OF LICENSING AGREEMENT TO INSTALL TELECOMMUNICATIONS ANTENNAS ON GREENVILLE UTILITIES COMMISSION'S EASTSIDE ELEVATED WATER TANK - ADOPTED

Motion was made by Council Member Craft and seconded by Council Member Council to adopt the resolution providing consent for execution of the proposed licensing agreement with Cellco Partnership, d/b/a/ Verizon Wireless to install telecommunications antennas on Greenville Utilities Commission's Eastside Elevated Water Tank. Motion carried unanimously. (Resolution No. 05- 89; Contract No. 1485)

APPLICATION FOR REDESIGNATION OF NORTH CAROLINA DEVELOPMENT ZONES - APPROVED

Mr. Merrill Flood, Director of Planning and Community Development, stated that the application for consideration is a request to the North Carolina Department of Commerce to redesignate current State Development Zones within the City of Greenville. The application includes census tracts that are eligible for inclusion based on 2000 census data. State Development Zones were established by the North Carolina Department of Commerce to provide economic incentives to stimulate new investment and job creation in economically distressed urban areas. These zones include a combination of one or more census tracts with high poverty located within or partially within the contiguous corporate limits. The benefits are in the form of tax credits to businesses. Current designations were established for the City of Greenville in 1999. If the application is approved by the NC Department of Commerce, the designation will begin on January 1, 2006 and will be effective for 24 months. The current designation expires December 31, 2005.

Motion was made by Council Member Craft and seconded by Council Member Council to approve the North Carolina Development Zones application. Motion carried unanimously. (Document No. 05-11)

REQUEST EXEMPTING NORTHLAND INDUSTRIAL PARK FROM THE REQUIREMENT OF VOLUNTARY ANNEXATION - APPROVED

Mr. Merrill Flood, Director of Planning and Community Development, stated that Northland Industrial Park is located within the recognized industrial area south of Staton Road and west of Grady-White Boats. The property contains 43.27 acres. Phase one development of this property will be a Fed Ex Ground & Materials Handling Facility on 12.4 acres.

Council Member Council stated that is a tax base the City is missing. Businesses in other parts of the City have to pay property taxes.

Motion was made by Council Member Craft and seconded by Council Member Glover to approve the request to exempt Northland Industrial Park from the requirement of voluntary annexation. Motion carried with a vote of 5:1. Mayor Pro-Tem Miller and Council Members Little, Glover, Craft and Dunn voted in favor of the motion. Council Member Council voted in opposition.

RECOMMENDATION OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION TO EXPAND BOUNDARY OF FAÇADE IMPROVEMENT GRANT PROGRAM AREA TO INCLUDE PROPERTIES WITH FRONTAGE ALONG EVANS STREET BEGINNING AT READE CIRCLE TO TENTH STREET AND TO ALLOW NON-PROFIT ENTITIES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PROGRAM - APPROVED

Mr. Merrill Flood, Director of Planning and Community Development, stated that this is a recommendation of the Historic Preservation Commission to expand the boundary of the Façade Improvement Grant Program Area to include properties with frontage along Evans Street from Reade Circle to Tenth Street and to allow non-profit entities to participate in the Program. The request involves a contiguous boundary expansion and eligibility for non-profit entities to participate in the Façade Improvement Grant Program.

Motion was made by Council Member Little and seconded by Council Member Craft to approve the recommendation of the Historic Preservation Commission for the expansion of the Façade Improvement Grant Program boundaries and that non-profit entities be eligible to participate in the Façade Improvement Grant Program. Motion carried unanimously.

RESOLUTION AMENDING YOUTH COUNCIL AND APPOINTMENT OF ORIGINAL MEMBERS OF THE YOUTH COUNCIL – ADOPTED

Mr. Flood informed the Council that the Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson of the Human Relations Council are present at the meeting tonight. The Human Relations Council recommends approval of a resolution amending the resolution creating the Youth Council to include representation from Ayden-Grifton High School, one additional private school (Calvary Christian Academy), and a home-schooled representative. The Human Relations Council, at its October 5, 2005 meeting, voted unanimously to approve the amended resolution. In accordance with the proposed resolution, applications have been solicited from the various schools and applications received. Even though applications have not been received from all schools, it is recommended that appointments be made from the submitted applications in accordance with the resolution.

Council Member Council stated that she would like for twelfth graders to have an opportunity to serve.

Since there were not enough applications from each of the groups to appoint three from each high school, Council Member Little suggested that the Council appoint the ones they have and ask for recommendations for the others.

Council was reminded that the National League of Cities Conference is in Charlotte in December and it was hoped that some of the youth could attend that conference. Next week is the deadline for registration.

Council Member Council expressed her desire that the group be diverse as far as race, sex, talent and grade level.

Motion was made by Council Member Dunn and seconded by Council Member Craft to send this back to the Human Relations Council with instructions to come back with specific recommendations from the list of applicants for a diverse group as far as race, sex, talent and grade level, and, in the interim, to pick three students they would recommend to go to the National League of Cities conference in Charlotte who would also be recommended to serve on the Youth Council. Motion carried unanimously. (Resolution No. 05-90)

ORDINANCE AMENDING CITY CODE TO ALLOW TRAFFIC CONTROL REGULATIONS ALONG CITY-MAINTAINED STREETS TO BE ESTABLISHED ADMINISTRATIVELY – ADOPTED

Motion was made by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller and seconded by Council Member Dunn to adopt the ordinance authorizing the Director of Public Works to establish traffic control regulations and placement of traffic control devices on City-maintained streets. Motion carried unanimously (Ordinance No. 05-140)

BUILDING ASSESSMENT REPORT FOR THE KEEL WAREHOUSE AND OLD PEPSI PLANT

Mr. Tom Tysinger, Director of Public Works, stated that staff is seeking direction from the City Council on how to proceed with the use of the Pepsi Bottling Company and the Keel Warehouse. The Pepsi Bottling Company was acquired in September 2004. The Keel Warehouse was acquired completely in November 2004; and two-thirds of it was donated and then the City went back and bought the last one-third interest. Oakley Associates was retained to complete a building assessment. (Document No. 05-13)

Old Pepsi Plant

Mr. Tysinger summarized the results of the assessment of the Old Pepsi Plant. There is 58,000 square feet in that building (50,000 square feet is warehouse and 8,000 square feet is office space). Eight-five percent of the building is in good shape and 15 percent of the building has suffered significant structural damage. That is the oldest portion of the building, which is closest to Skinner Street. In order to use the facility for public purposes, it would have to be renovated to meet ADA requirements, which includes restrooms, stairways, elevators, etc. It shows the probable cost to upgrade that facility is \$1.9 million. If Council moves forward with that facility as a public use, staff would refine the estimates based upon the actual use of the building. Over the last several months, there has been some interest expressed by private entities in using that facility either through a lease arrangement or potentially as a sale of the property. The building exterior is in pretty good shape. The offices are split between the first and second floor. There is no elevator to get to the second floor offices; a stairway is used to get to the second floor. The 15 percent of the facility that was structurally damaged is due primarily to the failure of the roof and water infiltration. The report recommends demolishing that portion which consists of about 7,700 square feet next to Dickinson Avenue. There are trusses that are sagging in that area.

Mr. Tysinger continued by stating that the remainder of the warehouse, which is the center portion of the warehouse, is in very good condition. It is a steel truss structure. There are problems throughout the building with the aging of the electrical system. Also, the restrooms are

not ADA accessible. The newest part of the warehouse is in good condition. The Salvation Army has used this area occasionally when they were trying to respond to disasters across the country. Mr. Tysinger displayed other evidence of the ADA issues that would have to be addressed including the step up to the restrooms is too small. Mr. Tysinger stated that the condition of the roof is not in good shape, and if it is not demolished it would have to be repaired. The rest of the roof is in good condition. If the City wants to use the facility, staff recommendations are to develop a building program to identify the exact use and to refine the estimates in the report to fit the use. Staff feels that there is an opportunity to possibly dispose of, sale or lease as is to a private sector. It may be in the City's best interest at this point to at least pursue some of those that they have heard from and see if there is real interest in that.

The following questions were asked and answers given in regard to the Pepsi Warehouse.

- 1) Would those issues have to be addressed if the private sector used the building?
(RESPONSE: They would have to address some of the ADA issues, but not to the degree that the City would if it is used as a public facility.)
- 2) What would they have to do about only utilizing the warehouse space?
(RESPONSE: If it was truly warehouse, where there is nobody working in the facility, the City might be okay. However, if it is warehouse where people are working on a regular basis, the City would be required to upgrade restrooms to where they could be accessible.)
- 3) Would the City have to do anything if all of the offices are removed and the building is used only for uses such as storing equipment?
(RESPONSE: The City would still be required to have some available restrooms in the facility.)
- 4) Is the City using any of the building right now?
(RESPONSE: No.)

Motion was made by Council Member Little and seconded by Council Member Craft to pursue the options for the sale or lease of the Pepsi Bottling Company facility. Motion carried unanimously.

Keel Warehouse

Mr. Tysinger stated that Keel Warehouse consists of 180,000 square feet. Sixty percent of the building was destroyed in Spring 2004 and ultimately demolished. The remaining building of approximately 72,000 square feet was damaged in the summer of 2005. The report recommends the demolition of the remaining building and the slabs. The estimate of the cost for that is \$350,000. Mr. Tysinger displayed photographs of the front of the warehouse (the side visible from Dickinson Avenue), the rear of the warehouse near the railroad track, and some of the roof damage that occurred last summer during the hurricanes. He stated that some of this damage resulted in damage to the houses next door. Mr. Tysinger displayed photographs of some of the structural deficiencies in the building where the columns were hit when being used as a tobacco warehouse, bent columns, and trusses bearing on nothing, caused by a damaged brick wall. Mr.

Tysinger stated there is no electricity or water in the facility. The condition of the existing slab is not suitable for building a structure on it. It could possibly be used to park on, but it is broken and very irregular. Staff 's recommendations are to demolish the building and the remaining slab so the site can be stabilized and market the property for sale or explore redevelopment options.

The following questions were asked and answers given in regard to the Pepsi Warehouse.

- 1) What is the acreage of land?
(RESPONSE: Close to five acres.)
- 2) What is the cost of demolishing the building and slab and can the building be sold as is?
(RESPONSE: The cost is estimated at \$350,000. That is too high and if they got in a bid situation it would probably be around \$225,000. The City could sell the building as is, but the problem with that is not knowing how long it would take and how long they would have to continue to look at the eyesore and not knowing what the buyer would do with the property.)
- 3) Is there any public use for the building?
(RESPONSE: The slab left out there is too irregular to be used. People could easily get hurt on it.)
- 4) Are the properties adjacent to the Public Works facility?
(RESPONSE: Both of those properties are relatively close to the Public Works facility, but someone would have to cross the railroad track to get to those properties. There is property on the same side of the railroad track to the rear of the Public Works property that is available now. The property was not available at the time they were considering this. It would be better to be on the same side of the railroad tracks.)

Council Member Glover stated that she has received a lot of calls about the property being an eyesore. If they sell it as is, it will sit there for years unless there is a stipulation.

Motion was made by Council Member Little and seconded by Council Member Dunn to demolish and stabilize the Keel Warehouse site and then look at options. Motion carried unanimously.

REPORT ON CURRENT AND FUTURE FIRE-RESCUE STATION LOCATIONS

City Manager Bowers stated that this report is in response to some questions that were asked by Council a few months ago about annexations that are somewhat distant from existing fire/rescue stations. Also, the City has received some correspondence from the State about fire station locations.

Fire/Rescue Chief Michael Burton displayed a map outlining the widespread growth of multi-family development. From 1990 to 2005 building permits were issued for a little over 12,000 multi-family units versus about 4,000 for single-family homes. Multi-family development adds additional workload on both the Fire side of their operation and on an emergency medical services side due to the higher density. There are a number of reasons that a community might

choose to build an additional fire/rescue facility. At times, that decision is based on call volume, and an example of that is the downtown fire station. In some cases, a fire rescue station is built due to the risk level of a particular target of a hospital, or of a large facility for the aging where there is a very high risk and a facility may be wanted close by. Also, a facility might be needed based on response time and distances from the nearest facility that may need to be changed. The reality is that serious consideration will be given to placing a fire/rescue facility in that area long ahead of when the call volume would drive that decision, but the response time out there will drive those decisions ahead of the call volume itself. A map was displayed illustrating some of the challenges they have with natural barriers that are there in the form of railroads that are split north, east, south and west. They need to make very deliberate decisions upon where the facilities are placed with very few ways to get across those. Right now, they have all the corners covered pretty well, but there is not a way to build down in the south area to where they are always going to be on the right side of the tracks out of a given station, but they should have it with enough of saturation. One of the greatest unknowns where information is needed is the actual location of the Southwest Bypass. They see that as a very pivotal bit of information in where the west side facility is built. A larger view of the area that is in question is the existing Station #3 at Red Banks Road and Charles Boulevard and the existing Station #5 on Rollins Drive off of Greenville Boulevard. There is a traffic problem at Firetower Road now and it will get worse until those remedies are online. There is a potential site in that area where Firetower Road exists. Understandably, operating off of Firetower Road is not ideal because of the traffic flow that is going to be there; however, quite a number of these areas are best serviced off of that road and it does become the best choice. Improvements on Thomas Langston Road from Memorial Drive to Evans Street will be another key decision to give them an additional east/west corridor. As a starting point, they envision Station #7 being the next one up somewhere in that general area. Station #8 may be in the area of Davenport Farm or Frog Level Road. That is the one that is probably far more fluid than this one because they will be looking at where that growth is going and the type of growth. He would rather be somewhat late with the decision than incorrect on the decision because the results are costly if they build in the wrong location and the opportunity to change is not always there.

Upon being asked about purchasing the land, Fire/Rescue Chief Burton stated staff very much wants to consider some land banking in that area to protect the City's interest for the future.

Fire/Rescue Chief Burton stated one of the things that they would like to see in servicing that area right now is transportation improvement for Station #5. That could be in the form of road improvements, mode of better connectivity, and could mean better traffic flow for emergency vehicles. To get into this area right now, time of day is a very critical factor and there are concerns for those response areas simply because of the traffic and there is not a more direct route to get there.

Upon being asked about the Tobacco Road extension, Fire/Rescue Chief Burton responded if that one goes through that would be a nice route to get down there, but anywhere in that area to get them down south would be a great help. It would buy some time on where the next facility will be built until the growth could be studied more.

Fire/Rescue Chief Burton stated that the planning staff gave an estimate that in the not too distant future; high residential growth on the south side will cause the area to have the population of

Kinston. The service issues that they are facing today are causing them to explore a number of different ways to deliver service. One is, by the end of this year, they hope to start using a process of automatic aid along the border with Winterville. Automatic aid differs from mutual aid. A mutual aid situation is where the Greenville Fire/Rescue Department arrives on the scene and then request resources from other agencies. Staff is exploring the automatic aid agreement with Winterville so that in areas along the border, both agencies would respond simultaneously. There are some areas that Winterville helps Greenville with and Winterville has some needs that Greenville could help them with. If they approach it from that perspective, both can each gain. At City Council's planning session in January, one of the goals was to work on more cooperative ventures, and Winterville is where they started off on that. One of the significant concerns is as the areas expand around Ironwood, they are going to be outside of the five road miles distance. That is the standard that the Office of State Fire Marshal is using. They do not consider response time on any of those; they look at a very simplistic five-mile limit. Ironwood, as it exists right now, is within the five-mile limit, but the planned new development across the highway from that is estimated between a 1,000 and 1,500 single-family homes and a large continuing care facility that is similar in size and scope to Cypress Glen. It depends upon the type of roads that are through there, but it does not look like all portions are going to be within the five miles. With the clientele expected to be in there, they can safely anticipate a significant EMS workload in that area.

Chief Burton continued by stating that the other area of concern, and they are working with the State Fire Marshal Office to explore remedies, is Bradford Creek. There are parts of Bradford Creek that are outside of that five-mile boundary presently. Staff is working with them to see if there are some agreements that they could have with other providers to help support Greenville in that area. A pledge to the City Council is that staff will let them know those future annexations that are outside of that five-mile limit before the City Council makes their decisions on annexations. They will look at those completely different than in the past, even on some of the planned developments that they come across. They have looked at them in the past simply from a code perspective (i.e., are the streets wide enough at the fire hydrant end). Staff will also give them advice on those that they think are going to give them service concerns. As they consider the future stations, particularly Stations #7 and #8, that are going to be remote geographically from most of government, they are asking Council to consider some joint use facilities, (i.e. a fire rescue facility would be accompanied by a park, police facility, or a service kiosk where people could conduct routine business with city government in a joint facility). One of the options while they are waiting on the Southwest Bypass is to set up temporary corridors without making a large capital investment in facility and simply study the situation, provide some service out there, and then make a better decision in a few years once they see where that is really going. It is not an ideal solution, but is certainly one worth considering. It may be time to really project out five or ten years and land bank with some property now for where they are going to need some facilities before it is too late.

Upon being asked about who services Pitt Community College, Fire/Rescue Chief Burton responded that is Winterville's district. That is one of the targets that Winterville is looking for some help from Greenville on. They need some aerial truck coverage and Greenville could provide that with very little impact and is one they could work cooperatively on.

Upon being asked whether Station #6 is adequate for that area, Chief Burton responded yes. It is in a good location from where the City is right now and even with annexations along the corridor, the City is still in good shape there. There was a very quiet opening of Station #6 last month and a rather significant grand opening will be held when the training complex is completed.

RESOLUTION AMENDING CITY OF GREENVILLE PAY PLAN BY ADDING THE POSITION OF ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER AND DELETING THE POSITION OF DEPUTY CITY MANAGER - ADOPTED

Motion was made by Council Member Craft and seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller to adopt the resolution amending the City of Greenville Pay Plan. Motion carried unanimously (Resolution No. 05-91)

BUDGET ORDINANCE AMENDMENT #4 TO 2005-2006 CITY OF GREENVILLE BUDGET - ADOPTED

Motion was made by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller and seconded by Council Member Council to adopt the ordinance approving amendment #4 to the 2005-2006 City of Greenville Budget. Motion carried unanimously (Ordinance No. 05-141)

ADOPTION OF BUDGET SCHEDULE FOR FISCAL YEARS 2006-07 AND 2007-08 - ADOPTED

City Manager Bowers informed the Council that he is going to a two-year budget. Legally, however, the Council has to adopt a budget each year. Next year's schedule may be different. Under the proposed two-year budget schedule, staff will be asked to balance expenditures to revenues, not the other way around. This does not create a significant difference to the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP). The following schedule was presented to Council.

“COPY”

DETAILED BUDGET AND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT SCHEDULE
FISCAL YEAR (S) 2006-2007 and 2007-2008

Thursday	November 10, 2005	Budget and CIP schedule presented to City Council
Tuesday	November 15, 2005	Revenue Projections from Departments submitted to the Director of Financial Services
Wednesday	November 23, 2005	Revenue Projections Finalized
Wednesday	November 30, 2005	CIP requests submitted to the Director of Public Works
Monday Wednesday	December 12-14, 2005	Department Head CIP Budget meetings with the City Manager, Deputy City Manager, Director of Financial Services, and Director of Public Works

Monday	December 19, 2005	Budget targets distributed to departments
Tuesday	December 20, 2005	HTE Budget preparation worksheets are available
Wednesday	January 11, 2006	Computer and Phone requests submitted to the Director of Information Technology
Wednesday	January 18, 2006	New and reclassification position requests submitted to the Director of Human Resources
Saturday	January 28, 2006	City Council Planning Retreat and draft CIP Presentation
Wednesday	February 8, 2006	Budget request by Department submitted to the Director of Financial Services (4 copies)
Monday Friday	February 20-March 3, 2006	Department Head Budget meetings with the City Manager, Deputy City Manager, and Director of Financial Services
Monday	March 6, 2006	Balanced CIP presentation to the City Council
Wednesday	March 15, 2006	Preliminary budget information for Greenville Utilities Commission (GUC), Sheppard Memorial Library (SML), Airport, and Convention and Visitors Authority (CVA) submitted to the Director of Financial Services (1 original, 17 copies)
Monday	April 10, 2006	City Council preview of proposed City Budget
Wednesday	April 19, 2006	City Balanced Budget due for the May City Council Meeting
Monday	April 24, 2006	Balanced Budgets of the GUC, SML, Airport, & CVA submitted to the Director of Financial Services for distribution to City Council (1 original, 17 copies)
Wednesday	May 3, 2006	Distribution to City Council of the balanced budgets of the City, GUC, SML, Airport, & CVA
Thursday	May 11, 2006	Presentation of balanced budgets of the City, GUC, SML, Airport, & CVA
Thursday	May 18, 2006	Public display of balanced budgets prior to the Public Hearing
Monday	June 5, 2006	Public Hearing on the Fiscal Year 2006-2007 Budget and 2007-2008 Plan

Thursday June 8, 2006

Consideration of adoption of the Fiscal Year 2006-2007 Budget and approval of the 2007-2008 Plan

“COPY”

Motion was made by Council Member Little and seconded by Council Member Craft to approve the budget schedule for Fiscal Years 2006-2007 and 2007-2008. Motion carried unanimously.

REPORT ON BIDS AWARDED

City Manager Wayne Bowers referred the Council to bids that had been awarded as follows:

<u>Date</u>	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Awarded To</u>	<u>Amount</u>
10/14/2005	16 (ea.) Hammerhead Pen Tablets and Accessories	Taylor Data Systems	\$69,609.00

COMMENTS FROM MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

Mayor Parrott thanked Mayor Pro-Tem Miller for his four years of service and stated that if it had not been for him, Mayor Parrott probably would not have run for Mayor. He read the following resolution of appreciation and presented it.

“COPY”

RECOGNITION AND APPRECIATION OF R. RICHARD (RIC) MILLER

WHEREAS, R. Richard (Ric) Miller has faithfully and honorably served the citizens of Greenville with remarkable distinction as the District 3 City Council Member and as Mayor Pro-Tem from 2001 until 2005;

WHEREAS, those years of service have been marked by exemplary dedication to the best interest of all citizens, as he has worked both professionally and civically for the betterment of the community;

WHEREAS, during his tenure on the Greenville City Council, over \$13 million in federal earmarks have been received for police technology, transportation needs and greenway expansions; a \$20 million bond issue has been passed for transportation, storm water drainage system improvements and revitalization projects; downtown and West Greenville revitalization efforts have begun; efforts have been made to stabilize neighborhoods; and transportation issues have been successfully addressed; and

WHEREAS, he has provided outstanding public service to the citizens of Greenville through his conscientious efforts and it is recognized that all of Greenville has benefited as a result of his service on the City Council;

NOW, THEREFORE, Ric Miller is hereby recognized and commended for providing outstanding public service to the citizens of Greenville during his tenure as a Council Member and Mayor Pro-Tem through his commitment, devotion, conscientiousness, thoroughness, good judgment, integrity and concern. Best wishes are extended to him in his future endeavors.

This 10th day of November, 2005.

/s/ Robert D. Parrott
Robert D. Parrott, Mayor

“COPY”

Mayor Parrott then presented Mayor Pro-Tem Miller with a plaque from the Council, which read as follows:

“COPY”

THE CITY OF GREENVILLE,
NORTH CAROLINA
GRATEFULLY ACKNOWLEDGES
OUTSTANDING SERVICE
OF
RIC MILLER
AS
MAYOR PRO-TEM
DECEMBER 2001 – NOVEMBER 2005

Mayor
Don Parrott

Council Members
Mildred Council Chip Little
Rose Glover Pat Dunn
Ray Craft

“COPY”

Mayor Pro-Tem Miller thanked his supporters of the past four years for the e-mails and contributions. He stated that he has thoroughly enjoyed working with them the past four years. The Council has been quite professional and productive. The Mayor has great vision. He thanked the staff and stated that the City has a very professional staff.

Council Members expressed their thanks and comments to outgoing Mayor Pro-Tem Miller.

Council Members gave comments regarding events in the area.

CITY MANAGER'S REPORT

City Manager Bowers expressed appreciation to Mayor Pro-Tem Miller, stating that he has been a good advisor.

City Manager Bowers stated that the new City Hall is taking shape. He offered to take any Council Member on a tour that might be interested.

City Manager Bowers stated that the Police Chief selection process has begun. He will be getting a report out. The IACP will be doing the process. Representatives will be in town November 29 and the morning of November 30. He will be arranging a time for the Council Members to meet individually with a representative of the IACP.

City Manager Bowers stated that he sees no reason to have the December 19 meeting and recommended that it be cancelled.

Motion was made by Council Member Craft and seconded by Council Member Little to cancel the December 19, 2005 City Council meeting. Motion carried unanimously.

OTHER BUSINESS

Council Member Little read a poem for Veterans Day and thanked those who serve the country.

ADJOURN

Motion was made by Council Member Craft and seconded by Council Member Glover to adjourn the meeting at 9:30 p.m. Motion carried unanimously.

Respectfully submitted,

Wanda T. Elks, MMC
City Clerk